## MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Document 17

Harvey Bartle IV 502 Carnegie Center Princeton, NJ 08540-6241 Tel: (609) 919-6685 harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com

Andrew J. Gallo\* 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178-0060 Tel: (212) 309-6000 andrew.gallo@morganlewis.com

Bryan M. Killian\* David B. Salmons\*\* 1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004-2541 Tel: (202) 739-3000 bryan.killian@morganlewis.com david.salmons@morganlewis.com

Counsel to Appellant Peter Protopapas, in his capacity as Court-Appointed Receiver

\* Pro Hac Vice Pending \*\* Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

# SHERMAN, SILVERSTEIN, KOHL, ROSE & PODOLSKY, P.A.

Arthur J. Abramowitz Ross J. Switkes 308 Harper Drive, Suite 200 Moorestown, NJ 08057 Tel: (856) 662-0700 aabramowitz@shermansilverstein.com rswitkes@shermansilverstein.com

#### **COOLEY LLP**

Cullen D. Speckhart\* Michael Klein\*\* Matthew L. Kutcher\* Evan M. Lazerowitz Jeremiah P. Ledwidge\*\* Patrick J. Hayden\*\* 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001 Tel: (212) 479-6000 cspeckhart@cooley.com mklein@cooley.com mkutcher@cooley.com elazerowitz@cooley.com iledwidge@cooley.com phayden@cooley.com

## CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, **CHARTERED**

Kevin C. Maclay\*\* Todd E. Phillips\*\* One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 Tel: (202) 862-5000 kmaclay@capdale.com tphillips@capdale.com

Co-Counsel to Appellant the Official Committee of Talc **Claimants** 

In re:

WHITTAKER, CLARK & DANIELS, INC.

PETER PROTOPAPAS and OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF TALC CLAIMANTS,

Appellants.

Document 17

v.

WHITTAKER, CLARK & DANIELS, INC., Appellee.

Chapter 11 Bankr. Case No. 23-13575 (MBK)

Civil Action No. 3:23-cv-04151-ZNQ

## APPELLANTS' JOINT STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE PRESENTED ON APPEAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 6(b)(2)(B), Appellants the Official Committee of Talc Claimants (the "Committee") of Whittaker, Clark & Daniels, Inc. ("WCD") and its affiliated debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned bankruptcy case, and Peter Protopapas, in his capacity as courtappointed receiver for WCD (the "Receiver" and together with the Committee, the "Appellants"), hereby jointly submit this statement of issues to be presented on appeal in connection with its appeal from the Order [D.N.J. Case No. 23-cv-04151 (ZNQ), Docket No. 37], entered on May 31, 2024 (the "District Court Order"), 1

For purposes of this statement of issues, the District Court's *Opinion* [D.N.J. Case No. 23-cv-04151 (ZNQ), Docket No. 36] (the "District Court Opinion") and the Order memorializing the District Court Opinion are referred herein as the

by the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (the "District Court"), affirming the *Order Denying Motion to Dismiss* [Bankr. D.N.J. Case No. 23-13575 (MBK), Docket No. 211], entered on June 20, 2023 (the "Bankruptcy Court Order"), by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey.

## ISSUES ON APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT'S AFFIRMANCE OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT ORDER

- 1. Did the Bankruptcy Court err in holding that WCD's bankruptcy petition was duly authorized despite a state court receivership order that granted the exclusive authority to file bankruptcy to the Receiver appointed by a South Carolina court?
- 2. Did the Bankruptcy Court err by failing to recognize that the Receiver appointed by the South Carolina court had exclusive authority to file a bankruptcy petition on WCD's behalf?

<sup>&</sup>quot;District Court Order" but citations to the District Court Opinion are noted as such.

For purposes of this statement of issues, the Bankruptcy Court's Letter Opinion Denying the Motion to Dismiss [Bankr. D.N.J. Case No. 23-13575 (MBK), Docket No. 210] (the "Bankruptcy Court Opinion") and the Bankruptcy Court Order memorializing the Bankruptcy Court Opinion are referred to herein as "the Bankruptcy Court Order" but citations to the Bankruptcy Court Opinion are noted as such.

- a. Did the Bankruptcy Court err by failing to recognize that under state law the appointment of the Receiver in South Carolina divested WCD's board of directors of authority to take actions inconsistent with the powers granted to the Receiver?
- b. Did the Bankruptcy Court err by ignoring the State Court's stated understanding of the receivership order made on the record during a hearing?
- c. Did the Bankruptcy Court err by failing to interpret the receivership order in a manner consistent with the statements made on the record by the State Court Judge regarding the order's effect?
- 3. Does the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine deprive a federal bankruptcy court of jurisdiction to review the validity of a state court receivership order that divested a corporate board of its authority to file a bankruptcy petition?
- 4. When, if ever, may a federal court make an exception to the rule that state law dictates who may authorize a bankruptcy petition on behalf of a corporate debtor and allow a bankruptcy filing by a person or entity who is not authorized under applicable state law to commence such a filing?
  - a. Did the Bankruptcy Court err in holding that, assuming the Receiver has exclusive authority under state law to file for bankruptcy, it could recognize a bankruptcy petition filed by WCD's corporate board absent

- a finding that the Receiver is biased against a board's interests or otherwise derelict in its duties such that WCD lacked meaningful access to the bankruptcy system?
- b. Did the Bankruptcy Court err in holding that, assuming the Receiver has exclusive authority under state law to file for bankruptcy, it could overcome the presumed deferral to state law because the bankruptcy was related to asbestos, implicates 11 U.S.C. § 524(g), and there are future, unidentified claimants with considerable interests?
- 5. Did the District Court err in affirming the Bankruptcy Court Order denying the motion to dismiss the Chapter 11 bankruptcy filed by WCD?

Dated: July 15, 2024

#### /s/ Harvey Bartle IV

# MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Harvey Bartle IV 502 Carnegie Center Princeton, NJ 08540-6241

Telephone: (609) 919-6685

Email: harvey.bartle@morganlewis.com

Andrew J. Gallo\* 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178-0060

Telephone: (212) 309-6000 Facsimile: (212) 309-6001

Bryan M. Killian\* David B. Salmons\*\* 1111 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20004-2541 Telephone: (202) 739-3000 Facsimile: (202) 739-3001

Counsel to Appellant Peter Protopapas, in his capacity as Court-Appointed Receiver

## /s/ Arthur J. Abramowitz

## SHERMAN, SILVERSTEIN, KOHL, ROSE & PODOLSKY, P.A.

Arthur J. Abramowitz Ross J. Switkes 308 Harper Drive, Suite 200 Moorestown, NJ 08057 Tel: (856) 662-0700

## **COOLEY LLP**

Cullen D. Speckhart\*
Michael Klein
Matthew L. Kutcher\*
Evan M. Lazerowitz
Jeremiah P. Ledwidge\*\*
Patrick J. Hayden\*\*
55 Hudson Yards
New York, NY 10001
Tel: (212) 479-6000

# CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED

Kevin C. Maclay\*\*
Todd E. Phillips\*\*
One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20005
Tel: (202) 862-5000

Counsel to Appellant
The Official Committee of Talc Claimants

\* Admitted *Pro Hac Vice* 

\*\* Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming